UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,428	04/16/2004	G. Glenn Henry	CNTR.2227	6390
23669 HUFFMAN L	7590 09/28/2007 AW GROUP, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1900 MESA A	VE.	•	HOANG, DANIEL L	
COLORADO	SPRINGS, CO 80906		<u> </u>	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2136	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

			9			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/826,428	HENRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel L. Hoang	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	_	with the correspondence addi	ress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>16 April 2004</u> .					
,— ,—	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	(8) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 2136

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **CLAIMS PRESENTED**

Claims 1-34 are presented.

#### **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "said interrupting event" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent
basis for this limitation in the claim. Examiner interprets this claim to be dependent on claim 6.
 Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6-9, 12, 23-24, 29-30

Claims 1-5, 10-11, 13-22, 25-28, 31-34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yup et al., US PGP No. 20020191784.

As per claim 1, 22, 28, Yup teaches:

An apparatus for performing cryptographic operations, comprising:

Art Unit: 2136

a cryptographic instruction, received by a computing device as part of an instruction flow executing on said computing device, wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes one of the cryptographic operations, and wherein said one of the cryptographic operations comprises:

[see paragraphs 0038-0039]

a plurality of CFB block cryptographic operations performed on a corresponding plurality of input text blocks;

[see paragraph 0040]

[CFB] mode logic, operatively coupled to said cryptographic instruction, configured to direct said computing device to update pointer registers and intermediate results for each of said plurality of [CFB] block cryptographic operations; and

[see paragraph 0025]

execution logic, operatively coupled to said [CFB] block pointer logic, configured to execute said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraph 0041]

Yup is not explicit in teaching CFB block cryptographic operations. More specifically, although Yup teaches cryptographic operations on multiple successive blocks of text, Yup does not expressly state that these cryptographic operations are of cipher feedback mode. As evident in applicant's disclosure on paragraph 0012 of the specification, it is well known that all symmetric key algorithms employ the same types of modes. ECB, CBC, CFB, and OFB are examples that applicant discloses. Based on this, examiner deems it obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement CFB or any other block cipher mode in conjunction with the system/apparatus taught by Yup.

## As per claim 2, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations further comprises:

a CFB mode encryption operation, said CFB mode encryption operation comprising encryption of a

plurality of plaintext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of ciphertext blocks.

Art Unit: 2136

[see paragraph 0040]

As per claim 3, 32, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations further comprises:

Page 4

a CFB mode decryption operation, said CFB mode decryption operation comprising decryption of a

plurality of ciphertext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of plaintext blocks.

[see paragraph 0040]

As per claim 4, 33, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations is accomplished

according to the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm.

[see paragraph 0024]

As per claim 5, 34, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes that cipher

feedback mode to be employed in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 10, 25, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said CFB mode logic directs said computing device to

modify said pointer registers to point to next input and output text blocks at the completion of each of said

plurality of CFB block cryptographic operations on each of said corresponding plurality of input text

blocks.

[see paragraphs 0025-0027]

As per claim 11, 26, Yup teaches:

Art Unit: 2136

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said CFB mode logic directs said computing device to store

a current output text block to a memory location pointed to by an initialization vector register.

[see paragraphs 0043-0044]

As per claim 13, 27, 31:

Yup does not explicitly disclose:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction is prescribed

according to the x86 instruction format.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to create the instructions in x86 format or any

other format. One would have been motivated to do so in order to conform to the type of platform

selected for implementation of the encryption/decryption device.

As per claim 14, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction implicitly references a plurality

of registers within said computing device.

[see paragraph 0024]

As per claim 15, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a first register, wherein

contents of said first register comprise a first pointer to a first memory address, said first memory address

specifying a first location in memory for access of said plurality of input text blocks upon which said one of

the cryptographic operations is to be accomplished.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 16, Yup teaches:

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/826,428 Page 6

Art Unit: 2136

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a second register, wherein contents of said second register comprise a second pointer to a second memory address, said second memory address specifying a second location in said memory for storage of a corresponding plurality of output text blocks, said corresponding plurality of output text blocks being generated as a

result of accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations upon a plurality of input text blocks.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 17, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a third register, wherein contents of said third register indicate a number of text blocks within a plurality of input text blocks.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 18, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a fourth register, wherein contents of said fourth register comprise a third pointer to a third memory address, said third memory address specifying a third location in memory for access of cryptographic key data for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 19, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a fifth register, wherein contents of said fifth register comprise a fourth pointer to a fourth memory address, said fourth memory address specifying a fourth location in memory for access of an initialization vector for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

Art Unit: 2136

## As per claim 20, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a sixth register, wherein contents of said sixth register comprise a fifth pointer to a fifth memory address, said fifth memory address specifying a fifth location in memory for access of a control word for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations, wherein said control word prescribes cryptographic parameters for said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

Claims 6-9, 12, 23-24, 29-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yup as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sorimachi et al., US Patent No. 7184549.

### As per claim 6, 23, 29:

The Yup reference has been discussed above. Yup is not explicit in teaching:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, further comprising: a bit, coupled to said execution logic, configured to indicate whether said one of the cryptographic operations has been interrupted by an interrupting event.

Sorimachi teaches the deficiencies of Yup. [see col. 13, lines 29-55]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine what is taught above by Sorimachi with the teachings of Yup in order to handle the exceptions when they occur. It would be beneficial to incorporate the use of exception handling so that the system can deal with exceptions in the event that a change in the normal flow of execution of the system arises.

# As per claim 7, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said bit is contained within a flags register.

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 8, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said interrupting event comprises a transfer of program control to a program flow configured to process said interrupting event, and wherein execution of said one of the cryptographic operations on a current input text block is interrupted.

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

As per claim 9, 24, 30, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 8, wherein, upon return of program control to said cryptographic instruction, said one of the cryptographic operations is performed on said current input text block.

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

As per claim 12, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said interrupting event comprises an interrupt, an exception, a page fault, or a task switch.

[see col. 13, lines 29-55]

CONCLUSION

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

POINTS OF CONTACT

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (5.71) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street

Art Unit: 2136

#### Alexandria, VA 22314

\*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dâniel L. Hoang 9/18/07

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

9,19,07

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :4/16/04, 4/16/05, 9/25/05, 3/11/06, 3/18/06, 6/04/06, 7/26/06, 9/30/06, 11/03/06, 1/25/07, 3/18/07, 3/25/07, 4/10/07, 4/11/07, 5/02/07, 5/23/07, 5/24/07, 5/31/07, 6/22/07, 9/11/07.